

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,482	10/787,482 02/26/2004		Masaaki Kabe	09792909-5798	8773	
26263	7590	12/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SONNENSC	HEIN N.	ATH & ROSENT	DUDEK,	DUDEK, JAMES A		
P.O. BOX 061	080					
WACKER DE	RIVE STA	TION, SEARS TO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, I			2871			

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ver			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	K)			
		10/787,482	KABE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James A. Dudek	2871				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addres	is			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commu NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 O	ctober 2005.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the me	rits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,11-14 and 17</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner.				
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '	, ,	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	` '			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stag	je			
Attachmer	• •	🗖					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)) ·			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2003/0030767 (767) in view of US006297863B1 (863).

Per claim 1, 3, 767 teaches a liquid crystal display device including a reflection portion [region corresponding to R] and a transmission portion in one pixel [region corresponding to Ra], wherein an orientation mode of the liquid crystal is a twist orientation [50 to 70 degree twist], a polarization plate [P1] and one phase difference plate are provided on a viewing surface side [D1], and a polarization plate is provided on a back surface side [P2]. 767 lacks a normally black mode polarizer configuration. However, it was well known to use normally black polarizer configuration to improve contrast. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to make a normally black cell of 767. 767 further lacks an N_z between 0.0 and 0.5. However, 863 teaches N_z in a reflective display between 0.0 and 1.0 more specifically between 0.2 and 0.6 [see paragraph bridging columns 4-5]. 863 teaches the benefit of improved viewing angle in reflective displays. Accordingly, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the retarder of 863 with the transflector of 767.

Per claim 2, 4, 767 teaches a liquid crystal display as set forth in claim 1, wherein a ratio dt/dr between a gap dt of said transmission portion and a gap dr of said reflection portion satisfies a relationship of dt/dr from 1.7 to 2.05 [see paragraph 0084].

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 767 in view of 863 as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of 2004/0183969 (969).

767 lacks at least one side in the shape of the boundary between said transmission portion and reflection portion is a shape other than a straight line. However, 969 teaches both straight and curved lines as a matter of design choice. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to combine the curved lines of 969 with 767.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 17 is allowed.

Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,482 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at/866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Järnes A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871